DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 464 554 HE 034 869

AUTHOR MacFarland, Thomas W.

TITLE Fiscal and Enrollment Changes at Nova Southeastern

University: 1995 to 1999. Research and Planning Report.

INSTITUTION Nova Southeastern Univ., Ft. Lauderdale, FL. Research and

Planning.

REPORT NO RP-00-02 PUB DATE 2000-02-00

NOTE 48p.

AVAILABLE FROM For full text: http://www.nova.edu/cwis/urp/pdfs/0002.pdf.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS College Graduates; *College Students; Departments;

*Educational Finance; *Enrollment; Enrollment Trends; Ethnicity; Expenditures; Financial Support; Higher Education; *Income; Minority Groups; Sex Differences

ABSTRACT

This report compiles recent fiscal and enrollment statistics for Nova Southeastern University (Florida) to assist the University in its own planning efforts. The report focuses on data from 1995 to 1999. University documents and the surveys reported to the U.S. Department of Education for the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System were used. The University's income was \$184.8 million in fiscal year (FY) 1995-1996 and \$250.7 million in FY 1998-1999, representing a 36% increase over this 3-year period. In the same period, the University's expenses increased by 37% to \$242.6 million. Overall enrollment increased, growing from 13,941 students in the fall term of 1995 to 17,810 in the fall term of 1999. A similar percentage growth (24%) was found for the more inclusive end-of-term enrollment. Enrollment by female students grew by 22% over the period, while enrollment for male students grew by about 4%. Enrollment of white students increased by about 4%, but enrollment of black students grew by 34% and enrollment of Hispanic American students grew by 30%. By academic level, the greatest percentage growth has been in the University's professional programs (Law and programs in the Health Professions Division). Statistics are also compiled for graduates. Nova Southeastern graduates are older than traditional graduates, with the modal age of undergraduates being 26 years at the time of graduation, and the modal age for doctoral students, 52 years. These data should be useful in the planning process for Nova Southeastern University in the future. An appendix contains data tables. (Contains 10 tables and 7 references.) (SLD)



FISCAL AND ENROLLMENT CHANGES AT NOVA SOUTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY: 1995 TO 1999

Thomas W. MacFarland

Senior Research Associate

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (FRIC)

CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

 Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Nova Southeastern University Research and Planning

Report 00-02

February 2000



FISCAL AND ENROLLMENT CHANGES AT NOVA SOUTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY: 1995 TO 1999

Thomas W. MacFarland Report 00-02

Senior Research Associate February 2000

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the national level, enrollment in higher education will increase by approximately 1.3 percent per year from the mid-1990s to the mid-2000s. In contrast, from 1995 to 1999, Nova Southeastern University has experienced a 7.0 annual increase in enrollment. In light of these local trends that simply do not parallel national trends, the purpose of this study was to compile a set of recent fiscal and enrollment statistics at the University, to assist the University's own planning efforts.

Whenever possible, this report was restricted in focus to statistics available from 1995 to 1999, which should offer a high degree of recency to trends at the University. Readily available data resources were used for this report, including:

- Annual and biennial Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) surveys reported to the United States Department of Education and the National Center for Education Statistics.
- Nova Southeastern University weekly enrollment reports, with summary enrollment statistics prepared at end-of-term and at the end of each academic year.
- Annual audits of calendar year (January 1 to December 31) enrollment statistics, based on unduplicated, cumulative headcount enrollment throughout the entire year.

Regarding finances, the University's income was \$184.8 million in FY 1995/96 and \$250.7 million in FY 1998/99, representing a 36 percent increase over this three-year period. In turn, the University's expenses were \$179.7 million in FY 1995/96 and \$242.6 million in FY 1998/99, for a 35 percent increase over the same three-year period.

Overall enrollment trends from 1995 to 1999 were also highlighted in this report. Using enrollment statistics reported to IPEDS, the University grew from 13,941 students in Fall Term 1995 to 17,810 students in Fall Term 1999, for a 28 percent increase over four years. A similar percentage growth (24 percent) was found for the more inclusive end-of-term enrollment statistics. Calendar year enrollment statistics (unduplicated, cumulative headcount enrollment throughout the entire year) indicated that the University's enrollment grew by 15 percent from 1995 to 1999.

Viewing enrollment by gender, a major change was quite apparent during the reporting period:



Page ii

- Enrollment by female students grew by 22 percent from Calendar Year 1995 (N = 13,420 female students, or 60 percent of total) to Calendar Year 1999 (N = 16,395 female students, or 64 percent of total).
- In contrast, enrollment by male students only grew by 4 percent from Calendar Year 1995 (N = 8,799 male students, or 40 percent of total) to Calendar Year 1999 (N = 9,117 male students, or 36 percent of total).

There were also marked changes in enrollment by race/ethnicity during this four-year reporting period:

- Enrollment of White, not of Hispanic Origin students only grew by 4 percent from Calendar Year 1995 (N = 14,373 students, or 65 percent of total) to Calendar Year 1999 (N = 15,001 students, or 59 percent of total).
- In contrast, enrollment of Black, not of Hispanic Origin students grew by 34 percent from Calendar Year 1995 (N = 3,571 students, or 16 percent of total) to Calendar Year 1999 (N = 4,772 students, or 19 percent of total).
- Similarly, enrollment of Hispanic Origin students grew by 30 percent from Calendar Year 1995 (N = 2,928 students, or 13 percent of total) to Calendar Year 1999 (N = 3,802 students, or 15 percent of total).

Student enrollment (Fall Term enrollment at end-of-term) was also differentiated by academic level and by full-time enrollment status and part-time enrollment status:

- By academic level, the greatest percentage growth has been in the University's professional programs (law and various programs in the Health Professions Division), which experienced a 63 percent increase in enrollment from Fall Term 1995 to Fall Term 1999. The University's graduate programs grew by 22 percent and the University's undergraduate programs grew by 6 percent during this same four-year period, Fall Term 1995 to Fall Term 1999.
- From Fall Term 1995 to Fall Term 1999, there has been a 38 percent increase in the number of full-time students and a 12 percent increase in the number of part-time students. For Fall Term 1999, 52 percent of all students were enrolled on a full-time basis and 48 percent were enrolled on a part-time basis.

A full set of statistics (N, mode, median, mean, and standard deviation) on age at time of graduation was also identified in this report. The University's graduates are older than traditional expectations, with the modal age of undergraduates equal to 26 years at time of graduation. Perhaps more revealing, the modal age of the University's doctoral graduates was 52 years at time of graduation.



Page iii

Although the University is well-known for its distance education programs, it is important to note that the majority of all students are permanent residents of South Florida (54 percent of total in Calendar Year 1999), the place of the University's various campuses. By breakout analysis group, the greatest 1995 to 1999 increase in enrollment, overall, was in Broward County, which had a 25 percent increase in enrollment by permanent residence.

A series of statistics on place of class attendance was presented in this report, but it was not possible to prepare analyses for Calendar Year 1999 since there was a 10.8 percent frequency of missing data for the *Cluster_City* code. However, using comparisons from the Calendar Year 1998 data set:

- Over two-thirds (68 percent of total) of all students attended class in South Florida and most (57 percent of total) attended class at a location in Broward County.
- Approximately 82 percent of all students attended class in Florida.
- About 11 percent of all students attended class in another state.
- Approximately four percent of all students attended class at an international location.

This report also presented projections for Fall Term 1999 enrollment that were originally prepared in 1995 by academic center deans, and these projections were then compared to actual Fall Term 1999 (end-of-term) enrollment statistics:

- Overall, there was only an 8 percent discrepancy between projected Fall Term 1999 enrollment (N = 16,925) and actual Fall Term 1999 enrollment (N = 18,232).
- However, there was considerable variance in the accuracy of projected and actual enrollment statistics by academic center:
 - The Fischler Graduate School of Education and Human Services had a Fall Term 1999 enrollment that was 28 percent greater than projected in 1995.
 - In contrast, the School of Computer and Information Sciences had a Fall Term 1999 enrollment that was 24 percent less than the Fall Term 1999 enrollment that was projected in 1995.

Because of the University's dominant representation in South Florida and other Florida counties, it should not at all be surprising that the University has had the opportunity to parallel Florida's growth. Florida currently has the 4th largest population in the United States and Florida is projected to gain over 6 million residents between 1995 and 2025, making it the 9th fastest-growing state by percent change in 1995-2025 population.

Within the context of this overall growth potential, the University's enrollment, as reported annually in IPEDS surveys, has expanded by 28 percent from Fall Term 1995 to Fall Term 1999.



Page iv

And, although attendance in classes offered in Miami-Dade County (9 percent) and Palm Beach County (3 percent) has remained stable, the University has experienced marked growth in Broward County. Continued attention to these enrollment statistics and the place of class attendance, as well as other components of University's student population such as an expanding presence of female students and minority students, will help the University better meet its mission, serve the community, and in turn position itself for a sustainable presence.

Attention to this type of detail is especially useful in view of the University's current Capital Improvement Plan process. As evidenced in this report, the accuracy of summative projections (overall, 8 percent) may be within an acceptable range, but enrollment projections with a discrepancy of more than 20 percent for individual academic centers may cause problems as the University tries to allocate scarce human, physical, and fiscal resources to optimum use.



Page v

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
INTRODUCTION	1
Background	1
Purpose of This Study	1
METHODOLOGY	1
RESULTS	2
SUMMARY	5
REFERENCES	7
APPENDIX: Table 1 to Table 10	8



Page vi

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Income (\$ Millions) at Nova Southeastern University: Fiscal Year 1995/96 to Fiscal Year 1998/99	8
2	Expenses (\$ Millions) at Nova Southeastern University: Fiscal Year 1995/96 to Fiscal Year 1998/99	9
3	Enrollment at Nova Southeastern University: 1995 to 1999	10
4	Calendar Year Enrollment at Nova Southeastern University by Gender: 1995 to 1999	11
5	Calendar Year Enrollment at Nova Southeastern University by Race/Ethnicity: 1995 to 1999	12
6	Fall Term Enrollment (End-of-Term) at Nova Southeastern University by Level (Undergraduate, First Professional, Graduate) and by Enrollment Status (Full-Time and Part-Time): 1995 to 1999	13
7	Age at Time of Graduation for Nova Southeastern University Graduates: Academic Year 1994-95 to 1998-99	15
8	Permanent Residence of Nova Southeastern University Students: Calendar Year 1995 to Calendar Year 1999	18
9	Place of Class Attendance of Nova Southeastern University Students: Calendar Year 1995 to Calendar Year 1999	19
10	Actual and Projected Fall Term 1999 Enrollment and Discrepancy (N and Percent) by Academic Center	24



INTRODUCTION

Background

At the national level, there were 14,261,781 undergraduate, graduate, and professional students enrolled during Fall Term 1995 (*The Chronicle of Higher Education 1997-1998 Almanac Issue*; 1997, p. 10). From this base, the United States Department of Education predicted that enrollment in higher education will increase by approximately 1.3 percent per year from the mid-1990s to the mid-2000s (Gerald and Hussar; 1997, p. ix).

Although these national statistics are all useful and offer some level of context as faculty and university administrators develop long-range plans, it is also important to differentiate between national trends and trends at the local level. As presented throughout this report, Nova Southeastern University has experienced fiscal and enrollment growth that greatly outpaces national trends and the University's own growth statistics should be used as a base for any long-range plans.

Purpose of This Study

The purpose of this study was to compile a set of recent fiscal and enrollment statistics at the University, to assist University planning efforts. Whenever possible, this report was restricted in focus to statistics available from 1995 to 1999, which should offer a high degree of recency to trends at the University.

METHODOLOGY

As a participant in financial assistance programs authorized by Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (as amended), the University is compelled to complete a collective body of *Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System* (IPEDS) surveys reported annually and biennially to the United States Department of Education and the National Center for Education Statistics, on topics as diverse as:

- Institutional Characteristics
- Fall Enrollment
- Completions
- Salaries, Tenure, and Fringe Benefits of Full-Time Instructional Faculty



- Finance
- Fall Staff
- Academic Libraries

When appropriate, statistics included in these many IPEDS surveys were used in this current report.

The University also prepares a weekly enrollment report, with summary enrollment statistics prepared at end-of-term and at the end of each academic year. These term-oriented enrollment statistics were also used in this report.

Additionally, Research and Planning prepares an annual audit of calendar year (January 1 to December 31) enrollment on a diverse set of student variables. These annual audits are based on unduplicated, cumulative headcount enrollment throughout the entire year and they served as an additional base resource for this report.

RESULTS

As identified in Table 1, the University's income was \$184.8 million in FY 1995/96 and \$250.7 million in FY 1998/99, representing a 36 percent increase over this three-year period. In turn, the University's expenses were \$179.7 million in FY 1995/96 and \$242.6 million in FY 1998/99, for a 35 percent increase over the same three-year period (Table 2).

Overall enrollment trends from 1995 to 1999 are presented in Table 3. Using enrollment statistics reported to IPEDS, the University grew from 13,941 students in Fall Term 1995 to 17,810 students in Fall Term 1999, for a 28 percent increase over four years. A similar percentage growth (24 percent) was found for the more inclusive end-of-term enrollment statistics. Calendar year enrollment statistics (unduplicated, cumulative headcount enrollment throughout the entire year) indicated that the University's enrollment grew by 15 percent from 1995 to 1999.

Enrollment by gender is presented in Table 4. A major change in enrollment is quite apparent during this four-year reporting period:

- Enrollment by female students grew by 22 percent from Calendar Year 1995 (N = 13,420 female students, or 60 percent of total) to Calendar Year 1999 (N = 16,395 female students, or 64 percent of total).
- In contrast, enrollment by male students only grew by 4 percent from Calendar Year 1995 (N = 8,799 male students, or 40 percent of total) to Calendar Year 1999 (N = 9,117 male students, or 36 percent of total).



There were also marked changes in enrollment by race/ethnicity (Table 5) during this four-year reporting period:

- Enrollment of White, not of Hispanic Origin students only grew by 4 percent from Calendar Year 1995 (N = 14,373 students, or 65 percent of total) to Calendar Year 1999 (N = 15,001 students, or 59 percent of total).
- In contrast, enrollment of Black, not of Hispanic Origin students grew by 34 percent from Calendar Year 1995 (N = 3,571 students, or 16 percent of total) to Calendar Year 1999 (N = 4,772 students, or 19 percent of total).
- Similarly, enrollment of Hispanic Origin students grew by 30 percent from Calendar Year 1995 (N = 2,928 students, or 13 percent of total) to Calendar Year 1999 (N = 3,802 students, or 15 percent of total).

Student enrollment (Fall Term enrollment at end-of-term) is also differentiated in Table 6 by academic level and by full-time enrollment status and part-time enrollment status:

- By academic level, the greatest percentage growth has been in the University's professional programs (law and various programs in the Health Professions Division), which experienced a 63 percent increase in enrollment from Fall Term 1995 to Fall Term 1999. The University's graduate programs grew by 22 percent and the University's undergraduate programs grew by 6 percent during this same four-year period, Fall Term 1995 to Fall Term 1999.
- From Fall Term 1995 to Fall Term 1999, there has been a 38 percent increase in the number of full-time students and a 12 percent increase in the number of part-time students. For Fall Term 1999, 52 percent of all students were enrolled on a full-time basis and 48 percent were enrolled on a part-time basis.

A full set of statistics (N, mode, median, mean, and standard deviation) on age at time of graduation are listed in Table 7. Comparing the 1994/95 academic year to the 1998/99 academic year, the modal age at time of graduation by academic level was:

		1994/95	1998/99
•	Undergraduate	23 years	26 years
•	Master's	27 years	29 years
•	Specialist	45 years	42 years
	First Professional	26 years	27 years
•	Doctorate	49 years	52 years





The permanent residence of the University's students is the focus of Table 8. Although the University is well-known for its distance education programs, it is important to note that the majority of all students are permanent residents of South Florida, the place of the University's various campuses:

- In Calendar Year 1995, the majority (N = 11,663 of 22,221, or 52 percent of total) of all students at the University were permanent residents of South Florida (Broward County, Miami-Dade County, or Palm Beach County).
- In Calendar Year 1999, the majority (N = 13,737 of 25,618, or 54 percent of total) of all students at the University were permanent residents of South Florida (Broward County, Miami-Dade County, or Palm Beach County).

By breakout analysis group, the greatest 1995 to 1999 increase in enrollment, overall, was in Broward County, which had a 25 percent increase in enrollment by permanent residents.

A series of statistics on place of class attendance is presented in Table 9. After the Calendar Year 1999 data set was adjusted to accommodate missing data, an entry for the Cluster_City code (a five-column alpha-numeric code based on zip codes) was still missing (no data) for 10.8 percent of all CY 1999 students (N = 2,779). This concern is further compounded by the observation that there were 701 CY 1999 students (2.7 percent of total) for whom there was an unidentified Cluster_City code (that is to say, a code was entered that is not recognized in the current data dictionary).

Because this problem with missing and/or unidentified CY 1999 Cluster_City codes is pervasive and found in all academic centers, the number and percent of change for Place of Class Attendance is provided for 1995 to 1998, the last calendar year with an accepted degree of missing data for Cluster_City codes. Therefore, using comparisons from the Calendar Year 1998 data set:

- Over two-thirds (68 percent of total) of all students attended class in South Florida and most (57 percent of total) attended class at a location in Broward County.
- Approximately 82 percent of all students attended class in Florida.
- About 11 percent of all students attended class in another state.
- Approximately four percent of all students attended class at an international location.

Because this report was prepared, in part, to support the University's planning process, Table 10 was prepared to offer some sense of the accuracy (and therefore the usefulness) of prior planning documents. Projections for Fall Term 1999 enrollment were made in 1995 by academic center deans, and these projections were then compared to actual Fall Term 1999 (end-of-term) enrollment statistics:



- Overall, there was only an 8 percent discrepancy between projected Fall Term 1999 enrollment (N = 16,925) and actual Fall Term 1999 enrollment (N = 18,232), which approaches the observation that center projections approximated five to ten percent of credit hour registrations for the same year (Predictions Versus Reality: An Analysis of University Enrollment Projections and Patterns; 1998, p. iv).
- However, there was considerable variance in the accuracy of projected and actual enrollment statistics by academic center:
 - The Fischler Graduate School of Education and Human Services had a Fall Term 1999 enrollment that was 28 percent greater than projected in 1995.
 - In contrast, the School of Computer and Information Sciences had a Fall Term 1999 enrollment that was 24 percent less than the Fall Term 1999 enrollment that was projected in 1995.

SUMMARY

Although the University has gained a national reputation because of its long history in the use of distance education, it is important to note that the most current set of available enrollment statistics (Calendar Year 1998) provides evidence that:

- 57 percent of all students attended class in Broward County.
- 68 percent of all students attended class in South Florida (Broward County, Miami-Dade County, and Palm Beach County).
- 82 percent of all students attended class in Florida.

Because of the University's dominant representation in South Florida and other Florida counties, it should not at all be surprising that the University has had the opportunity to parallel Florida's growth:

- Florida currently has the 4th largest population in the United States: 12.9 million in 1990 and 14.9 million in 1998 (United States Bureau of the Census, 1998).
- Further, Florida is projected to have a population of 15.2 million residents by July 1, 2000 and the state will gain over 6 million residents between 1995 and 2025, making it the 9th fastest-growing state by percent change in 1995-2025 population (Campbell, 1997).

Within the context of this overall growth potential, the University's enrollment, as reported annually in IPEDS surveys, has expanded by 28 percent from Fall Term 1995 to Fall Term 1999.



And, although attendance in classes offered in Miami-Dade County (9 percent) and Palm Beach County (3 percent) has remained stable, the University has experienced marked growth in enrollment in courses offered in Broward County:

		Broward Cou	nty Enrollment
		N	% of Total
•	Calendar Year 1995	11,870	53
	Calendar Year 1998	14,289	57

Continued attention to these enrollment statistics and the place of class attendance, as well as other components of the University's student population such as an expanding presence of female students and minority students, will help the University better meet its mission, serve the community, and in turn position itself for a sustainable presence.

Attention to this type of detail is especially useful in view of the University's current Capital Improvement Plan process. As evidenced in this report, the accuracy of summative projections (overall, 8 percent) may be within an acceptable range, but enrollment projections with a discrepancy of more than 20 percent for individual academic centers may cause problems as the University tries to allocate scarce human, physical, and fiscal resources to optimum use.



REFERENCES

- Campbell, P. (May 1997). *Population Projections: States, 1995 2025*. Washington, D.C.: United States Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Economics and Statistics Administration. P25-1131.
- The Chronicle of Higher Education 1997-1998 Almanac Issue. (1997). Washington, D.C.: The Chronicle of Higher Education.
- Gerald, D. E., and W. J. Hussar. (1997). *Projections of Education Statistics to 2007*. Washington, D.C.: United States Department of Education, Office of Educational Research and Improvement. NCES 97-382.
- Nova Southeastern University Board of Trustees Retreat. (May 19-20, 1995). Fort Lauderdale, Florida: Nova Southeastern University.
- Predictions Versus Reality: An Analysis of University Enrollment Projections and Patterns. (1998). Fort Lauderdale, Florida: Nova Southeastern University. Research and Planning Report 98-06.
- Research and Planning Weekly Enrollment Report. (January 3, 2000). Fort Lauderdale, Florida: Nova Southeastern University.
- United States Bureau of the Census. (1998). Statistical Abstract of the United States, 1998. http://www.census.gov/statab/www/states/fl.txt. Accessed December 17, 1999.



Table 1

Income (\$ Millions) at Nova Southeastern University: Fiscal Year 1995/96 to Fiscal Year 1998/99

	FY 199	96/266	FY 1996/97	26/9	FY 1997/98	86/20	FY 1998/99	66/86	Change: FY 95/96 - 98/99	e: FY 98/99
Income	9	%	\$	%	⇔	%	∳	%	9	%
Tuition and Fees	147.8	80	153.7	9/	174.8	92	190.0	92	42.2	29
Government Grants and Contracts	15.2	∞	12.9	9	16.0	7	18.6	7	3.4	22
Private Gifts, Grants, and Contracts	1.5	∇	10.0	5	9.3	4	10.0	4	8.5	267
Endowment Income	4.5	2	4.6	2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Other	15.8	6	20.0	10	28.6	13	32.1	13	16.3	103
TOTAL	184.8		201.2		228.7		250.7		62.9	36

Data are originally from Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System Finance Survey (IPEDS-F1-A) forms and were later presented in annual Fact Books prepared by Research and Planning. Note.

It is not possible to report endowment income for FY 97/98 or FY 98/99, due to changes in IPEDS reporting procedures.

(C)

Table 2

Expenses (\$ Millions) at Nova Southeastern University: Fiscal Year 1995/96 to Fiscal Year 1998/99

•										
	FY 1	1995/96	FY 1996/97	26/96	FY 1997/98	86/16	FY 1998/99	08/60	Change: FY	e: FY
Expenses	€	%	∽	%	છ	%	⇔	%	% S	98/99
Instruction	91.8	51	1183	179	127.4	13			•	2
Research	-	; 7	. ·	5	4./61	60	157.7	92	62.9	72
Duttie of	1:1	7	1:1	<u>.</u>	1.9	7	2.5	_	1.4	127
rublic Service	6.2	e	5.2	ю	5.3	2	5.5	,	0	
Academic Support	13.5	∞	15.7	∞	18.5	6	202	1 0) · (7
Student Services	4.7	m	6.4	۲۰		٠ (7.07	0	0.7	20
Institutional Support	7 66	, ;	5 ;	n	7.1	. 0	7.8	m	3.1	99
	72.0	51	28.5	15	30.8	15	34.1	14	10.5	44
Other	38.8	22	10.5	9	11.2	2	14.8	9	-24.0	-62
TOTAL	179.7		185.7		212.2		242.6		000	
									02.3	ç

Data are originally from Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System Finance Survey (IPEDS-F1-A) forms and were later presented in annual Fact Books prepared by Research and Planning. Note.

Scholarships, Physical Plant, and Auxiliary Enterprises. Due to these changed reporting requirements, these items are From FY 1995/96 to FY 1998/99, the University has responded to required changes for the reporting of expenses for included in Other in this table. All other line items remain consistent in how they are reported.

Table 3

Enrollment at Nova Southeastern University: 1995 to 1999

						Change: 1995 to 1999	95 to 1999
Enrollment Measure	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	Z .	%
Fall Term Enrollment							
Reported to IPEDS	13,941	14,951	15,782	16,050	17,810	3,869	28
End-of-Term	14,692	15,592	16,501	16,921	18,232	3,540	24
Calendar Year Enrollment	22,221	22,732	24,828	25,143	25,618	3,397	15

the United States Department of Education and the National Center for Education Statistics. This report traditionally records The University uses Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System form IPEDS-EF-1 to report Fall Term enrollment to University enrollment in mid-November. Note.

End-of-Term enrollment statistics are taken on the 1st Monday of the new year, approximately six weeks after IPEDS enrollment statistics are reported.

In contrast to enrollment during a specific term, Calendar Year enrollment statistics refer to unduplicated, cumulative headcount enrollment throughout the entire year.

Calendar Year Enrollment at Nova Southeastern University by Gender: 1995 to 1999

	1995		1996		1997		1998		1999		Change: 1995 to 1999	95 to 1999
Gender	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%
Female	13,420 60	09	13,887	61	15,439	62	15,660	62	13,887 61 15,439 62 15,660 62 16,395 64	49	2,975	22
Male	8,799 40	40	8,805	39	9,351 38	38	9,249 37	37	9,117	36	318	4
Unidentified	2	∇	40	∇	38	∇	234	∇	106	$\overline{\lor}$	104	n/a
TOTAL	22,221	11	22,732	2	24,828	∞	25,143	<u> </u>	25,618	∞	3,397	15

Table 5

Calendar Year Enrollment at Nova Southeastern University by Race/Ethnicity: 1995 to 1999

	1995		1996		1997		1998		1999		Change: 1995 to 1999	95 to 1999
Race/Ethnicity	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%
American Indian or Alaskan Native	75	∇	77	∇	85	▽	94	∇	85	7	10	13
Asian or Pacific Islander	786	4	785	3	943	4	1,021	4	1,086	4	300	38
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	3,571	16	3,645	16	4,070	16	4,289	17	4,772	19	1,201	34
Hispanic Origin	2,928	13	3,007	13	3,288	13	3,497	14	3,802	15	874	30
White, not of Hispanic Origin	14,373	65	14,709	65	16,202	65	15,674	62	15,001	59	628	4
Other/Unidentified	488	2	509	2	240	_	268	2	872	ю	384	79
TOTAL	22,221	-	22,732	2	24,828	∞	25,143	3	25,618	∞	3,397	15

Table 6

Fall Term Enrollment (End-of-Term) at Nova Southeastern University by Level (Undergraduate, First Professional, Graduate) and by Enrollment Status (Full-Time and Part-Time): 1995 to 1999

	1995	8	1996		1997		1998		1999		Change: 1995 to 1999	95 to 1999
Level and Enrollment Status	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	· %
Undergraduate												
Full-Time	2,467	63	2,336	59	2,800	64	2,692	62	2,384	57	-83	<u>.</u>
Part-Time	1,431	37	1,608	41	1,549	36	1,677	38	1,763	43	332	23
Total	3,898		3,944		4,349		4,369		4,147		249	9
First Professional												
Full-Time	2,148	66	2,287	94	2,477	96	2,664	95	3,374	95	1,226	57
Part-Time	30	-	140	9	105	4	154	2	186	5	156	520
Total	2,178		2,427		2,582		2,818		3,560		1,382	63

ે છ



€. 1

C	J	
C	`	į

Graduate												
Full-Time	2,325	27	2,422 27	27	2,569	27	2,582	26	3,809	36	1,484	64
Part-Time	6,305	73	6,465	73	6,824	73	7,271	74	6,716	64	411	7
Total	8,630		8,887		9,393		9,853		10,525		1,895	22
TOTAL												
Full-Time	6,940	46	7,045 45	45	7,846 47	47	7,938 47	47	9,567	52	2,627	. 38
Part-Time	7,766	51	8,213	52	8,478 50	20	9,102 53	53	8,665	48	668	12
Unidentified	502	33	481 3	3	545 3	3	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a
Grand Total	15,2	208	15,739	39	16,869	69	17,040	40	18,232	22	3,024	20

Table 7

Age at Time of Graduation for Nova Southeastern University Graduates: Academic Year 1994-95 to 1998-99

Group and Age	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98	1998-99
Undergraduate					
N	974	1,115	1,127	1,052	963
Mode	23	25	26	23	26
Median	33	32	32	32	30
Mean	33.9	33.4	33.6	33.4	32.9
SD	8.9	8.8	0.6	8.9	9.0
Master's				,	
Z	2,041	2,273	2,236	2,284	2,408
Mode	27	26	26	27	29
Median	35	34	35	34	33
Mean	36.4	35.8	36.5	35.5	35.6
SD	8.6	8.8	8.8	8.5	9.8
Specialist's N	73	57	47	28	100

3

Page 15

9 8



Mode	45	47	40	30	42	
Median	44	45	41	41	44	
Mean	42.9	43.2	41.6	40.7	42.1	
SD	8.0	9.4	7.9	7.8	8.7	
First Professional						
	550	268	574	648	638	
Mode	26	27	27	27	27	
Median	28	28	28	28	28	
Mean	30.2	30.4	30.1	30.4	30.3	
SD	5.8	9:9	0.9	5.8	6.1	
Doctorate (
	415	418	545	481	537	
Mode	49	45	48	90	52	
Median	47	47	48	47	48	
Mean	46.6	47.2	46.1	47.1	46.0	
SD	7.4	7.4	9.1	7.9	8.8	
All Graduates						
: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	4,053	4,431	4,529	4,523	4,646	
32		Page 16				ന്



28	33	35.6	9.5
27	34	35.6	9.3
56	34	36.2	9.6
26	34	35.7	9.4
26	35	36.1	9.3
Mode	Median	Mean	SD



Table 8

Permanent Residence of Nova Southeastern University Students: Calendar Year 1995 to Calendar Year 1999

	1995		1996		1997		1998		1999		Change: 1995 to	1995 to 9
Permanent Residence	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%
South Florida												
Broward County	6,340	29	6,836	30	7,249	53	6,127	24	7,928	31	1,588	25
Miami-Dade County .	3,915	18	3,958	17	4,015	16	3,270	13	4,328	17	413	11
Palm Beach County	1,408	9	1,437	9	1,491	9	1,195	2	1,481	9	73	5
Subtotal	11,663	52	12,232	54	12,755	51	10,592	42	13,737	54	2,074	18
Other Florida	4,520	24	4,473	20	5,451	22	7,680	31	4,799	19	279	9
Total Florida	16,183	73	16,705	73	18,206	73	18,272	73	18,536	72	2,353	15
Other States	4,620	21	4,587	20	4,955	20	5,243	21	5,670	22	1,050	23
Total United States	20,803	94	21,292	94	23,161	93	23,515	94	24,206	94	3,403	16
Other or Unidentified	1,418	9	1,440	9	1,667	7	1,628	9	1,412	9	9-	\ <u>`</u>
TOTAL	22,221		22,732		24,828		25,143		25,618		3,397	15



Table

Place of Class Attendance of Nova Southeastern University Students: Calendar Year 1995 to Calendar Year 1999

	1995		1996		1997		1998		1999¹	_	Change: 1995 to 1998	1995 to 8
Place of Attendance	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%
South Florida												
Broward County	11,870	53	12,561	55	13,813	99	14,289	57	13,281	52	2,419	20
Miami-Dade County .	2,074	6	2,094	6	2,270	6	2,217	6	2,201	6	143	7
Palm Beach County	702	3	640	3	710	3	969	ю	630	7	9-	∵
Subtotal	14,646	99	15,295	<i>L</i> 9	16,793	89	17,202	89	16,112	63	2,556	17

After the Calendar Year 1999 data set was adjusted to accommodate missing data, an entry for the Cluster_City code (a five-column alpha-numeric code based on zip codes) was still missing (no data) for 10.8 percent of all CY 1999 students (N = 2,779).

11 percent missing data. This concern is further compounded by the observation that there were 701 CY 1999 students Breakout statistics for CY 1999 Cluster City are provided in this table, but they are subject to this limitation of nearly (2.7 percent of total) for whom there was an unidentified Cluster_City code (that is to say, a code was entered that is not recognized in the current data dictionary).

academic centers, the number and percent of change for Place of Class Attendance is provided for 1995 to 1998, the last Because this problem with missing and/or unidentified CY 1999 Cluster_City codes is pervasive and found in all calendar year with an accepted degree of missing data for Cluster_City codes.



Page 20

•	,	,	,	,	•	,	,	•	. (,	•
Altimont Springs	14	⊽	10	$\overline{\lor}$	m	$\overline{\lor}$	-	∇	0) ()	-13	-93
Bonita Springs	34	$\overline{\lor}$	51	$\overline{\lor}$	80	$\overline{\lor}$	69	∇	55	$\nabla_{\underline{a}}^{t}$	35	103
Bradenton	147	$\overline{\lor}$	165	$\overline{\lor}$	157	$\overline{\lor}$	130	∇	117	∵ ∵	-17	-12
Cape Canaveral	32	∇	29	∇	.	$\overline{\lor}$	0	0	0,	0	-32	-100
Chiefland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	▽.		
Clearwater	158	∇	124	∇	112	∇	43	∇	0	0	-115	-73
Cocoa Beach	37	∇	13	∇	ю	∇	200	$\overline{\lor}$	232	∇	163	441
Daytona Beach	219	∇	222	∇	231	∇	188	$\overline{\lor}$	175	∇	-31	-14
Fort Myers	195	∇	204	∇	220	∇	189	∇	149	∇	9-	-3
Fort Pierce	47	∇	23	∇	23	∇	11	$\overline{\lor}$	12	∇	-36	-77
Fort Walton Beach	15	$\overline{\lor}$	12	∇	13	∇	7	$\overline{\lor}$		⊽	8-	-53
Gainesville	216	∇	252	1	249	-	205	$\overline{\lor}$	154	∇	-11	-5
Jacksonville	326	-	260	-	345	1	320	-	285		9-	-2
Key West	1	∇	0	∇	1	$\overline{\lor}$	0	0	0	0	7	-100
Label	∞	$\overline{\lor}$	5	$\stackrel{\vee}{\vdash}$	2	$\overline{\lor}$	2	∇		∇	9	-75
Lake Mary	13	∇	11	$\overline{\lor}$	3	$\overline{\lor}$	1	∇		. ▽	-12	-92
Lakeland	-	\checkmark	_	$\overline{\lor}$	-	∇	0	∇	0	0	-1	-100
Largo	91	\checkmark	20	$\overline{\lor}$	20	$\overline{\lor}$	∞	∇	10	∇	-83	-91
Melbourne	159	abla	145	∇	168	∇	137	∇	114	∇	-22	-14
Ocala	92	∇	66	\checkmark	123	∇	109	∇	83	∇	33	43



Other Florida Locations

9	15	-80	-100	-31	31		6-	-1	ı/a	-100	4	•	13		193	99	6-	-13	72	9
		'	7	•			·	·	H	T	·				1	•		ı	•	
44	6	4	-20	-22	6		7-	φ	30	-16	-154		2,402		79	-101	-2	-23	13	
8	∀.	0	∇	· 7	∇	7	∇.	က	∇	0	12	ţ	c		∇	∀'	∀	▽	, V	
708	54	0	2	44	37	-	42	702	20	0	3,032		19,144		135	09	16	135	36	
3	∇	∇	0	∇	∇	0	∇	Э	∇	0	14		78		∇	∇	$\overline{\lor}$	∇	$\overline{\lor}$	
795	70	_	0	49	38	0	72	191	30	0	3,442		20,044		120	79	20	154	31	
4	∇	∇	$\overline{\lor}$	∇	$\overline{\lor}$	0	$\overline{\lor}$	4	0	0	16	ć	83		∇	∇	∇	∇	∇	
892	72	2	14	74	52	0	91	914	0	0	3,866	00000	60,07		1111	92	19	163	18	Page 21
3	$\overline{\lor}$	$\overline{\lor}$	$\overline{\lor}$	∇	$\overline{\lor}$	0	∇	3	0	0	15	ç	83		∇	∇	∇	∇	∇	
781	54	-	16	54	34	0	91	754	0	0	3,461	19 756	18,730		82	120	21	153	17	
т	∇	∇	∇	∇	∇	0	∇	ж	0	∇	16	S	70		∇	$\overline{\lor}$	∇	∇	$\overline{\lor}$	
751	61	5	20	71	29	0	79	775	0	16	3,596	10.22	10,242		41	180	22	177	18	
Orlando	Pensacola	Port Saint Lucie	Saint Augustine	Saint Petersburg	Sarasota	Sebring	Tallahassee	Tampa	Vero Beach	Other/Unidentified	Subtotal	,	Fiblina	Other States	Alabama	Arizona	Arkansas	California	Colorado	42



Georgia 177 191 <1	Delaware	139	∇	119	∇	71	∇	57	∇	46	∇	-82	-59
1.24 61 142 61 143 61 143 61 143 61 143 61 143 61 144 61 144 61 144 61 144 61 144 61 144 61 144 61 144 61 144 61 144 61 144 61 </td <td>Georgia</td> <td>177</td> <td>∇</td> <td>191</td> <td>∇</td> <td>203</td> <td>∇</td> <td>243</td> <td>∇</td> <td>214</td> <td>∇</td> <td>99</td> <td>37</td>	Georgia	177	∇	191	∇	203	∇	243	∇	214	∇	99	37
95 cl 74 cl 102 cl 45 cl 74 cl 62 cl 63 cl 45 cl 74 cl 29 cl 45 cl 46 cl 62 cl 63 cl 45 cl 41 cl 130 cl 61 61 cl 61 cl 61 cl 61 cl cl 61 cl c	Illinois	124	∇	142	∇	141	∇	130	∇	118	∇	9	ν.
64 <1 62 <1 63 <1 45 <1 45 <1 49 <1 49 <1 49 <1 49 <1 49 <1 49 <1 50 <1 40 <1 61 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1<	Indiana	95	∇	74	∇	102	$\overline{\lor}$	76	∇	74	∇	2	2
49 <1 75 <1 114 <1 76 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1	Iowa	64	∇	62	$\overline{\lor}$	63	∇	45	∇	41	∇	-19	-30
154 <1 203 <1 248 1 237 <1 216 <1 83 1 0 0 0 13 <1	Louisiana	49	∇	75	∇	114	∇	9/	∇	61	∀	27	55
0 0 13 <1 15 <1 15 <1 15 <1 15 <1 15 <1 15 <1 15 <1 15 <1 15 <1 15 <1 15 <1 14 <1 12 <1 12 <1 14 <1 <12 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1<	Massachusetts	154	\checkmark	203	∇	248	1	237	∇	216	∇	83	54
49 <1 50 <1 42 <1 61 <1 64 <1 123 228 1 237 1 218 <1	Minnesota	0	0	0	0	13	abla	15	∇	13	⊽.	15	n/a
228 1 237 1 218 200 <1 145 <1 228 308 1 316 1 299 1 275 1 143 <1	Missouri	49	∇	20	$\overline{\lor}$	42	. ∠	61	$\overline{\vee}$	64	▽.	12	24
308 1 316 1 299 1 275 1 143 <1 -33 310 1 326 1 357 1 318 1 245 <1	Nevada	228	-	237	1	218	∇	200	∇	145	∇	-28	-12
310 1 326 1 357 1 318 1 245 <1 88 317 41 88 <1 81 <1 80 <1 99 <1 77 43 1 324 1 371 1 347 1 281 1 30 43 <1 27 <1 29 <1 22 <1 99 <1 30 49 <1 28 <1 21 <1 <1 <1 <1 <21 32 <1 17 <1 30 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 32 <1 32 <1 2,656 <1 <1 <1 <1 34 <1 2,656 <1 <2,188 <1 <1 <1 4 <1 265 <1 <2,188 <1 <2 <1 4 <1 <2,188	Pennsylvania	308	1	316	1	299	-	275	1	143	∇	-33	-11
87 41 88 41 81 41 80 41 99 41 77 317 1 371 1 347 1 281 1 30 43 41 27 41 29 41 22 41 22 41 22 41 22 41 22 41 42 41 42 <td>South Carolina</td> <td>310</td> <td>1</td> <td>326</td> <td>1</td> <td>357</td> <td>1</td> <td>318</td> <td>1</td> <td>245</td> <td>▽</td> <td>∞</td> <td>ĸ</td>	South Carolina	310	1	326	1	357	1	318	1	245	▽	∞	ĸ
317 1 324 1 371 1 347 1 281 1 30 43 <1	Texas	87	∇	88	∇	81	∇	80	∇	66	∇.	-7	φ
. 43 <1 27 <1 29 <1 22 <1 9 <1 -21 . 49 <1	Virginia	317	1	324	П	371	1	347	_	281	-	30	6
49 <1 28 <1 21 <1 17 <1 19 <1 -32 2,663 12 2,675 12 2,806 11 2,656 11 2,188 9 -7 365 1 265 1 2,188 9 -7 365 1 265 1 2,188 9 -7 366 1 279 1 235 <1 220 <1 -30	Vermont	43	∇	27	√.	29	∇	22	∇	6	∇	-21	-49
32 <1 17 <1 30 <1 32 <1 18 <1 0 2,663 12 2,675 12 2,806 11 2,656 11 2,188 9 -7 . 265 1 265 1 279 1 235 <1 220 <1 -30	Washington	49	$\overline{\lor}$	28	∇	21	$\overline{\lor}$	17	∇	19	∇	-32	-65
2,663 12 2,675 12 2,806 11 2,656 11 2,188 9 -7 . 265 1 260 1 279 1 235 <1	Wisconsin	32	$\overline{\lor}$	17	∇	30	∇	32	∇	18	∇	0	0
265 1 260 1 279 1 235 <1 220 <1 -30	Subtotal	2,663	12	2,675	12	2,806	11	2,656	11	2,188	6	-7	∵
265 1 260 1 279 1 235 <1 220 <1 -30	International Locations												
	Bahamas	265	1	260	-	279	1	235	∇	220	· 7	-30	-11

B 31



Canada	26	∇	26	$\overline{\lor}$	105	∇	79	$\overline{\lor}$	52	√.	-18	-19
England	1	$\overline{\lor}$	П	$\overline{\lor}$	1	∇	_	∇	n	∇	0	0
Germany	13	∇	10	∇	18	∇	12	∇	=	, . V	-1	φ
Israel	0	0	0	0	31	$\overline{\lor}$	26	∇	13	∇	76	n/a
Jamaica	383	7	427	7	448	7	447	7	390	2	64	17
Panama	408	2	362	7	316	-	215	∇	117	∇	-193	-47
Subtotal	1,167	2	1,157	2	1,198	2	1,086	4	908	ίU	-81	7-
Other/Unidentified	149	∇	144	∇	165	∇	757	ю	3,480	. 14	809	408
TOTAL	22,221		22,732		24,828		25,143	·	25,618	·	2,922	13

Table 10

Actual and Projected Fall Term 1999 Enrollment and Discrepancy (N and Percent) by Academic Center

	Fall Ter Enroll		Discre	pancy
Academic Center	Projected	Actual	N	%
Fischler Graduate School of Education and Human Services	4,870	6,231	1,361	28
Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies ² .	4,075	4,075	0	0
Health Professions Division	2,864	2,615	-249	-9
Huizenga Graduate School of Business and Entrepreneurship	2,031	2,296	265	13
Center for Psychological Studies	1,000	986	-14	-1
Shepard Broad Law Center	775	945	170	22
School of Computer and Information Sciences	900	680	-220	-24
School of Social and Systemic Services	310	283	-27	-9
Oceanographic Center	100	121	21	21
All Academic Centers	16,925	18,232	1,307	8

Data for actual enrollment are from Research and Planning Weekly Enrollment Report; January 3, 2000.

Data for projected enrollment are from materials prepared by academic centers for *Nova Southeastern University Board of Trustees Retreat*; May 19-20, 1995.



Enrollment statistics include students in the University's undergraduate
Hospitality Management program, which was a separate academic center during
May 1995, when enrollment projections were prepared.

HO31869



U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

	(Specific Document)	
I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION	:	
1	Moment Changes at P	low Southerstern
University: 1995	to 1999_	- 4.100 (110)
Author(s): Dr. Thomas	W. Mac Fardani)	
Corporate Source:	2 /	Publication Date:
Now South CASTERN	University	rebruary 2000
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:		
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Res	timely and significant materials of interest to the education (RIE), are usually made available Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Crediting notices is affixed to the document.	le to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy,
If permission is granted to reproduce and disse of the page.	minate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of	of the following three options and sign at the bottom
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sumple sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL, IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
mple	nple	
58	Sall	Sat.
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
1	2A	28
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
	<u>'</u>	
lacksquare		
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC erchival modia (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Chock here for Level 2A release, permilling reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 28 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
Docum If permission to re	ents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality pe eproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be proce	rmits. ssed at Level 1.
as indicated above. Reproduction fro	urces Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permiss on the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by perso e copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit re ors in response to discrete inquirios.	ons other than ERIC employees and its system
Sign Signature	Printed Name/Po	
here, > Thomas W. V) ac	Yelephone:	PAS WITTACTARLAND
please Non Swith PAST	E-Mail Address:	0ata 701 7.3.7007
FRIC T.	1 0 1	(over)
Tost Lawyer Da	Let-L 33314 HUVIN	ac Mac (GD L

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:
IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:
If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:
Name:
Address:
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:
Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility 1100 West Street, 2nd Floor Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

